

COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

Draft Negative Declaration

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

WARD: 5

. Case Number: P15-0280 (Minor Conditional Use Permit) and P15-1090 (Variance)

2. **Project Title:** Saint Thomas the Apostle Catholic Church Wireless Telecommunication Facility

3. **Hearing Date:** March 3, 2016

4. **Lead Agency:** City of Riverside

Community & Economic Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3rd Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. **Contact Person:** Sean P. Kelleher, MBA, Associate Planner

Phone Number: (951) 826-5712

6. **Project Location:** 3721 Jackson Street, located at the southwest corner of Magnolia Avenue and

Jackson Street in the Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, R-1-7000 - Single Family Residential Zone, CR - Commercial Retail Zone, and PF - Public Facilities Zone.

7. Project Applicant/Project Sponsor's Name and Address:

Property Owner	<u>Applicant</u>	Representative
The Roman Catholic Bishop of San	LA SMSA LP, dba Verizon	Dail Richard, SAC Wireless
Bernardino, a corporation sole	Wireless	(949) 235-6262
1201 E. Highland Avenue	15505 Sand Canyon Avenue,	5865 Avenida Encinas Suite 142 - B
San Bernardino, CA 92404	Building D, First Floor	Carlsbad, CA 92008
	Irvine, CA 92618	

8. General Plan Designation: PF Public Facilities / Institutions

9. **Zoning:** Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, R-1-7000 – Single Family Residential Zone, CR

- Commercial Retail Zone, and PF - Public Facilities Zone

10. Description of Project:

The applicant is requesting a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) and Variance (VR) to allow the construction of a stealth fifty foot (50') tall wireless telecommunications facility designed as a church bell tower and related equipment enclosure, within an approximately 540 square foot enclosed lease area. The proposed lease area is generally situated towards the central portion of the property and generally located behind existing buildings and structures on-site, approximately 475 feet south of Magnolia Avenue, approximately 190 feet west of Jackson Street, and approximately 57 feet east of Wilbur Street. The nearest residential structure is located on

the west side of Wilbur Street and is approximately 135 feet from the lease area and approximately 170 feet from the proposed tower. The proposed equipment will be located within the lease area at grade level and will be screened by a six foot (6') tall block wall with associated landscaping.

The applicant is requesting a variance to exceed the maximum height limit of thirty five feet (35') of the R-1 7,000 zoning district. As previously noted the proposed tower height is fifty feet (50') tall. The additional height will allow for a larger area to be served by the wireless telecommunication facility.

Within the bell tower the applicant is proposing a single antenna array installed at a centerline height of approximately 45 feet above ground level and a parabolic antenna at a centerline height of approximately 39 feet above ground level. The antenna array will consist of twelve (12) antennas, approximately eight feet (8') in height, attached to three (3) separate sectors. In addition, twelve (12) RRU's will be added to the back side of the antenna arrays. The parabolic antenna has a four foot (4') diameter. The bell tower will be stucco coated and painted Sherwin Williams Antique White (SW 6119) and the cross will be painted Sherwin Williams Extra White (SW 7006). One foot (1') wide architectural bands are located on the tower at approximately 23 feet and 37 feet which frame an eight and one half foot (8 ½') tall up-lit cross on each side of the bell tower. A one and one half foot (1½') tall cornice is found at the top of the tower.

The proposed 540 square foot lease area is enclosed by a combination of an existing and new a six foot (6') tall CMU wall. The applicant is proposing to plant trumpet vines along the northwest and northeast portions of the wall. A four foot (4') wide six foot (6') tall solid metal man gate is proposed along the south west side of the lease area.

The applicant is also proposing the construction of a lattice patio cover approximately 3,150 square feet in size and standing ten feet (10') tall. The lattice patio covers will extend southerly from the wireless telecommunication facility and will provide shade for activities associated with the existing church use. The Lattice portion of the patio cover will be painted Sherwin Williams Positive Red (SW 6871) to match the trim on the existing buildings on-site.

A total of six trees will be removed onsite as part of the construction of the proposed wireless telecommunication facility and patio cover. The trees to be removed include one (1) pine (18" diameter trunk), four (4) citrus (6" diameter trunk) and one (1) orange (4" diameter trunk).

11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

The project site is approximately 4.64 acres, and is developed with an existing church facility, surface parking and landscaping.

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
	Saint Thomas the	PF - Public Facilities /	Magnolia Avenue
	Apostle Catholic Church	Institutions	Specific Plan, R-1-7000 -
			Single Family
Project Site			Residential Zone, CR -
			Commercial Retail Zone,
			and PF - Public Facilities
			Zone
	Commercial	MU-V - Mixed Use-Village	Magnolia Avenue
North	Development	and O - Office	Specific Plan, CR -
			Commercial Retail Zone

East	Sherman Indian High School	PF Public Facilities / Institutions	Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, CR - Commercial Retail Zone, and PF - Public Facilities Zone
South	The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter Day Saints and Single Family Residential	MDR – Medium Density Residential	Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, and R-1- 7000 - Single Family Residential Zone
West	Commercial Development and Single Family Residential	MU-V - Mixed Use-Village and MDR – Medium Density Residential	Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, R-1-7000 - Single Family Residential Zone, and CR - Commercial Retail Zone

12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

None

13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR

14. Acronyms

AICUZ - Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GhG - Green House Gas GP 2025 - General Plan 2025

IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services

OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAQMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors and Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors and Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors and Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that it is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that it is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that it is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that it is a "Potentially Significant Impactors are also below that it is a "Potential" are also below that it is a "Potential" and "Potential" are also below that it is a "Potential" are also below to be a "Potential" are also below that it is a "Potenti	ow would be potentially affected by this "as indicated by the checklist on the f		mpact	
□ Aesthetics	☐☐Agriculture & Forest Resources	☐☐Air Quality		
☐ Biological Resources	☐☐Cultural Resources	☐☐Geology/Soils		
☐ Greenhouse Gas Emissions	☐☐Hazards & Hazardous Materials	☐☐Hydrology/Water Quality		
☐☐Land Use/Planning	☐ Mineral Resources	□□Noise		
☐ Population/Housing	☐ Public Service	Recreation		
☐ Transportation/Traffic	☐Utilities/Service Systems	☐☐Mandatory Findings of Significance		
DETERMINATION: (To be complete	ed by the Lead Agency)			
On the basis of this initial evaluation recommended that:	which reflects the independent judg	ment of the City of Riverside	e, it is	
The City of Riverside finds that the proposand a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be		nt effect on the environment,		
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.				
The City of Riverside finds that the propo ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT		t on the environment, and an		
The City of Riverside finds that the proposignificant unless mitigated" impact on the an earlier document pursuant to applicable on the earlier analysis as described on attabut it must analyze only the effects that rem	environment, but at least one effect 1) has legal standards, and 2) has been addressed ched sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IM	by mitigation measures based		
The City of Riverside finds that although the because all potentially significant effects DECLARATION pursuant to applicable state of NEGATIVE DECLARATION, in proposed project, nothing further is required.	(a) have been analyzed adequately in an andards, and (b) have been avoided or mit acluding revisions or mitigation measures	earlier EIR or NEGATIVE igated pursuant to that earlier		
Signature		Date February 5, 2016		
Printed Name & Title Sean P. Kelleh	er, MBA, Associate Planner	For <u>City of Riverside</u>		



COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

Environmental Initial Study

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b. **Impacts Adequately Addressed.** Identify which effects from the above checklist were with in the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c. **Mitigation Measures.** For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.

8)	The ex	planation of each issue should identify:			
	a.	the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and			
	b.	the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact	
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:					
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?			\boxtimes		
 1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project consists of surrounded by existing development where there are no scenic vista scenic vistas are less than significant impacts. 	ys, Table 5.1- an infill proj	-A – Scenic an	nd Special Boo	ulevards, and a completely	
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?					
 1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, Table 5.1-A – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Table 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, Title 20 – Cultural Resources and, Title 19 – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones – RC Zone) Less Than Significant Impact. The General Plan 2025 designates several roadways as Scenic Boulevards and Parkways in order to protect scenic resources and enhance the visual character of Riverside. The proposed project is located along Magnolia Avenue which is designated as a Scenic/Special Boulevard within the Circulation and Community Mobility Element of the General Plan 2025. The proposed Wireless Telecommunication Facility is located approximately 475 feet south of Magnolia Avenue. The Wireless Telecommunication Facility is screened from Magnolia Avenue by existing buildings associated with the Church and School on–site, as well as, mature trees. As discussed in the project description the tower has been designed as a stealth facility to look like a church bell tower. Furthermore, there are no rock outcroppings or historic buildings within view of this proposed project so no impacts to these resources are expected. The Zoning Code regulates building setbacks, building heights, land uses, landscaping, parking and other development standards for use and development of all properties. With the exception of the requested variance to increase the height of the proposed structure, the project complies with these standards. Therefore, any potential adverse direct, indirect or cumulative impacts from this project will be less than significant impact. 					
 c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings? 1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025) 	025 FPEIR, 2	Zoning Code,	Citywide Des	ign and Sign	
No Impact. The proposed project consists of an infill project within an urbanized area completely surrounded by existing development. The project has been designed to be compatible with the surrounding area. Therefore, it will not degrade the existing visual character of the area and no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the visual character or quality of the Planning Area will occur. d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? 1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.1-2 – Mount Palomar Lighting Area, Title 19 – Article VIII – Chapter 19.556 – Lighting, Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines, and Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan) No Impact. The project would not result in a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might in a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or might be received as a substantial light or glar					
nighttime views as the project consists of the establishment of a us	e within an ex	kisting buildin	g on a fully de	eveloped site	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact		
		Incorporated		1		
where adequate levels of lighting currently exist and no new lighting is proposed or required for the project and where no exterior building materials are proposed that would contribute to daytime glare impacts. As such the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively which would adversely affect day or nighttime views.						
2.AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:						
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timberland, are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legacy Assessment project; and the forest carbon measurement methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Air Resources Board. Would the project:						
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non- agricultural use?						
2a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability No Impact. The project is located in an urbanized area of the City and is located on a site currently developed as a Church and School. Additionally, the site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. There are no agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands within proximity of the subject site. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on agricultural uses.						
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?						
 2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-3 - Williamson Act Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Uses, and Title 19) No Impact. The site is within a built environment and no Williamson Act contracts are implemented on the site. The proposed project will not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural uses or any applicable Williamson Act contracts. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 						
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?						
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)						
No Impact. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent native tree cover nor does it have any imberland. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?		Incorporated		\boxtimes
2d. Response: (Source: GIS Map – Forest Data)				
No Impact. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can supptimberland, therefore no impacts will occur from this project directly				s it have any
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan – Figure OS-2 – Agricu Preserves)	ltural Suitabi	lity, Figure O	S-3 – William	son Act
No Impact . The project is located in an urbanized area of the City and is located on a site currently developed as a Church and School. Additionally, the site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. The project will not result in the conversion of designated farmland to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands within proximity of the subject site. The City of Riverside has no forest land that can support 10-percent native tree cover. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively to conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or to the loss of forest land.				
2 AID OUAL ITW				
3. AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:				
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				\boxtimes
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Management I	District's 2007	Air Quality M	lanagement P	lan (AQMP))
No Impact. The proposed Wireless Telecommunication Facility is c Growth Scenario" in all aspects. The Air Quality Management Plan forth a comprehensive program that will lead the SCAB into complian City of Riverside is located within the Riverside County sub region of determined that implementation of the General Plan 2025 would ger standards of the AQMP. The General Plan 2025 contains policies to that serve to reduce air pollutant emissions over time and this project project is consistent with the 2007 AQMP, the proposed project will nair quality plan – AQMP and therefore this project will have mimplementation of an air quality plan.	n (AQMP) for nee with all Fe f the SCAG pr nerally meet a promote mix is consistent value conflict or	the South Co deral and State ojections. The ttainment fore ted use, pedes with these polico obstruct imples	ast Air Basin e air quality state General Plan casts and attaitrian-friendly cies. Because mentation of the	(SCAB) sets andards. The 2025 FPEIR nment of the communities the proposed he applicable tively to the
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				\boxtimes

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact		
3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-1 and South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 A		CEQA Regiona	ıl Significance	e Thresholds,	
No Impact. The project will not result in the violation of any ambie existing or projected air quality violation because the project is proportion construction, grading or earthmoving activities associated with the located within a 540 square foot project area, as well as, the construct 1,360 square feet. As such, the project will have no impact direct contribute to an existing air quality violation.	sed on a previ construction ction of two (2	ously develope of a wireless t) trellises for t	ed site and involetelecommunica	olves limited ation facility wner totaling	
c. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non- attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?					
3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3- and South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 A				e Thresholds	
Less Than Significant Impact. Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan are projected to result in significant levels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10, PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds. The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10, and PM-2.5 under Federal standards.					
Because the proposed project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, cumulative impacts related to criteria pollutants as a result of the project were previously evaluated as part of the cumulative analysis of build out anticipated under the General Plan 2025 Program. As a result, the proposed project does not result in any new significant impacts that were not previously evaluated and for which a statement of overriding considerations was adopted as part of the General Plan 2025 FPEIR. Therefore, cumulative air quality emissions impacts are less than significant .					
d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds and South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan)					
No Impact. The project would not expose sensitive receptors to sulproposed on a previously developed site and involves limited construction of a wireless telecommunication facility located construction of two (2) trellises for the property owner totaling 1,360 cumulatively to a sensitive receptor will occur.	ction, grading within a 540	or earthmovin square foot pr	g activities ass oject area, as	sociated with well as, the	
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				\boxtimes	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
3e. Response: No Impact. The project would not expose a substantial number of anticipated to be generated by the proposed use. Therefore, no impindirectly or cumulatively.					
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.					
Would the project:					
a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHO Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, and Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP database and other appropriate databases identified no pote suitable habitat for such species on site. Federal Species of Concern, Species Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Native Plant have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on habitat modi special status species in local or regional plans, and policies or regular or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.	Area Plans, F Plant Specie HCP Burrown proved site wi ential for cand California Sp Society (CNF fications, spec	rigure 5.4-4 - 1 is Survey Area ing Owl Surve thin an urbanization of Specific Speci	MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4- y Area) ged area and a e or special st al Concern, ar Therefore, the as a candidate,	search of the atus species, and California e project will sensitive, or sh and Game	
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?					
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, and MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools) No Impact. No wetland or riparian vegetation exists on the project site as it is fully developed. Furthermore, the project site is located within an urban built-up area, contains existing development. Generally, the surrounding area has been developed for many years and a long history of severe disturbance exists in the area, such that there is little chance that any riparian habitat could have persisted. Therefore, no impact to any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service with implementation of the proposed project will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including but not limited to march varied pool, coested					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact		
etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?		_			
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS	Quad Map La	iyer)			
No Impact. The project is located within an urbanized area where 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, ver to the project site. The project site does not contain any discernible or hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drawould have no impact to federally protected wetlands as defined by and cumulatively.	rnal pool, coas drainage cour rainages or we	stal, etc.) exist rses, inundated etlands. There	on site or with areas, wetland efore, the prop	nin proximity d vegetation, bosed project	
d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?					
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 -Figure	OS-7 – MSH(CP Cores and	Linkage)		
No Impact. The project is within an urbanized area and will not result in a barrier to the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the project will have no impact to wildlife movement directly, indirectly and cumulatively.					
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?					
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 - Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 - Establishing a					
No Impact. The project proposes the removal of six (6) trees on-sit associated with the proposed wireless telecommunication facility. facility is subject to the City of Riverside landscaping design standard to ensure that future development would not conflict with any local including tree preservation policies. This project has been reviewed at the policies. For these reasons, the project will have no impact ordinances protecting biological resources.	The construct ds. In addition policies or or gainst these po	tion of the Wi n, the General l dinances prote blicies and four	ireless Telecon Plan 2025 included ecting biologicand to be in com-	mmunication udes policies al resources, apliance with	
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?					
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan, Lake Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan, and El Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)					
No Impact. The project site is located on a previously developed/impan adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. There of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation plan.	vation Plan, or efore, the proje	r other approv ect will have n	ed local, region of the contract on the contra	onal, or State ne provisions	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
			•		
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?					
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historica Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code)	l Districts and	d Neighborho	od Conservatio	on Areas and	
No Impact. The project site is located on a site currently developed a No earthwork or building demolition is proposed in collaboration wi impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively on historical resources a	th the propose	ed use. As suc	h, the project	will have no	
b. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?					
No Impact. The project is located on a previously developed/improsuch as new development involving grading/ground disturbance, are archeological resources. Therefore, the project will have no impact d resource pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines.	oved site with proposed that	in an urbanize would create	potential for di	sturbance or	
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?					
5c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Policy HP-1.3) No Impact. The project is located on a previously developed/improved site within an urbanized area where no activities, such as new development involving grading/ground disturbance, are proposed that would create a potential for disturbance of paleontological resources or site or unique geologic features. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly or indirectly on a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.					
d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?					
5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity)					
No Impact. The project is located on a previously developed/improsuch as new development involving grading/ground disturbance, are human remains. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, in including those interred outside of formal cemeteries.	proposed that	would create	potential for di	sturbance of	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant			No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	2puev	
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					
 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. 					
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 - Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)	- Regional Fo	ult Zones &	General Plan	2025 FPEIR	
No Impact. Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern Californ zones. The project site does not contain any known fault lines and t Compliance with the California Building Code regulations will ensuroccur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	he potential fo	r fault rupture	or seismic sh	aking is low.	
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				\square	
in the southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the pot cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project comple associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? 6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils Geotechnical Report) Less Than Significant Impact. The project site is located in an ard depicted in the General Plan 2025 Liquefaction Zones Map – Figur regulations will ensure that impacts related to seismic-related ground significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	es with Califordirectly, indirectly, indir	ornia Building ectly and cumulated and cumul	Code regulatively. Sigure PS-2 – otential, and a cotential for lice California B	Liquefaction Appendix E – quefaction as uilding Code e a less than	
iv. Landslides?					
 6iv. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report) No Impact. The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and are not located in an area prone to landslides per Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Therefore, there will be no impact related to landslides directly, indirectly and cumulatively. 					
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					
 6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5. Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Types, Title 17 – Grading Code) No Impact. The project does not involve substantial development, 	grading activit	ies, or structui	res that would	result in soil	
erosion or the loss of topsoil. As such, the project will have no impa directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ct resulting in	substantial so	il erosion or l	oss of topsoil	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impuet
		Incorporated		
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
6c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 – Reg General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 – Soils with High S by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils, Table 5.6-B – Soil Typ	Shrink-Swell I	Potential, Figu	re 5.6-1 - Are	as Underlain
Less Than Significant Impact. The general topography of the subject an area for a high potential for liquefaction as depicted in the General Project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography. Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Comparing the General Plan 2025 help to ensure that impacts resignificant impacts level directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	eral Plan 2025 and are not l pliance with the	Liquefaction 2 ocated in an a he City's exist	Zones Map – rea prone to la ing codes and	Figure PS-2. andslides per I the policies
d. Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				\boxtimes
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6- Figure 5.6-5 – Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Appe Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set out in Title	endix E – Geot	echnical Repo	rt, and Califo	
No Impact. The project is located on a site that does not have expansindirectly or cumulatively.	sive soils and t	herefore there	will be no im j	pact directly,
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6	-4 – Soils, and	l Table 5.6-B	- Soil Types)	
No Impact. The proposed project will not require sewer services. Therefore, the project will have no impact.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant				
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact		
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:						
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?						
7a. Response:						
Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed Project involves the The Project is consistent with the City's General Plan 2025 policies reduce GhG emissions. Since the Project will not result in a net in State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by reduction in GhG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Exthe Projections of employment and population forecasts identified by growth Projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCA quality for planning activities such as the RTP, the SCAQMD's AQN is consistent with the Projections of employment and population for the General Plan 2025 "Typical Growth Scenario." Therefore, this respect to GhG emissions.	and statewide crease in GhC by the year 20 xecutive Order y the SCAG a G's modeling MP, RTIP, and recasts identifi	Building Cod. 3 emissions, it 20 as stated in r S-3-05. Project considered section to fore the Regional I led by the SCA	e requirements will not inter AB 32 and a ects that are co-consistent with ecast travel der Housing Plan. AG that are co-	s designed to fere with the in 80 percent onsistent with the AQMP mand and air This Project insistent with		
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?						
Tb. Response: Less Than Significant Impact. The SCAQMD supports State, Feder depleting gases through its Global Warming Policy and rules and threshold. As indicated in Question A, above, the Project would comp Building Code provisions designed to reduce GHG emissions. In a applicable rules and regulations during construction and will not interest to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in the AB 32 and an 80 percent 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based on the discussion aplan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.	I has establish ply with the Condition, the Profession with the cent reduction above, the Profession in the Profession with th	hed an interin ity's General P roject would of State's goals of in GHG emissipject will not c	n Greenhouse Plan 2025 police comply with a of reducing GF sions below 19 onflict with ar	Gas (GHG) sies and State Il SCAQMD IG emissions 990 levels by ny applicable		
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:						
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?						
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, and Riverside Fire Department EOP) No Impact. The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material because the use is a Wireless telecommunication Facility. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into				\boxtimes		
the environment?						

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING				
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Ele Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Riverside's EOP)				
No Impact. The proposed project does not involve the use of any impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively for creating a signific reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the respective to the conditions are conditions.	ant hazard to	the public or	the environn	nent through
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 Building Code)	-2 – RUSD B Schools, Fig	oundaries, Ta gure 5.13-4	ble 5.13-D RU – Other Sci	USD Schools, hool District
No Impact. The proposed project does not involve any emission or h within one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed us the project will have no impact regarding emitting hazardous er materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing	e is a Wireless missions or h	s Telecommun andling hazaro	ication Facility lous or acute	y. Therefore, ly hazardous
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Ha CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B – Regulate EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)				
No Impact. A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pur the project site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the prohazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulative	ject would ha			
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Airp	port Safety Zo	nes and Influ	ence Areas, R	CALUCP)
No Impact. Although a portion of the Church and School Facility and Zone E, the location of the proposed Wireless Telecommunication Fac Zone as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program Riverside County Airport Land use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area of	cility is not wi FPEIR for Ri). Therefore,	thin a Safety ar verside Munic the project wil	nd/or Airport C ipal Airport as l have no imp	Compatibility s noted in the
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
in Chimilan Societis).		Mitigation Incorporated		
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Air	rport Safety Zo	_	nce Areas and	i RCALUCP)
No Impact. Because the proposed project is not located within propairstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with a adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuatio plan?				
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Ha. EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Juris (Plan)				
No Impact. The project will not result in physical alterations to the physically interfere with an adopted emergency plan. Therefore, not emergency response or evacuation plan will occur.				
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss injury or death involving wildland fires, including when wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or when residences are intermixed with wildlands?	e			
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 – Fi Riverside's EOP, 2002, Riverside Operational Area – Mu Strategic Plan)				
No Impact. The proposed project is located in an urbanized area within a Very High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to will wildland fires either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this p	dland areas or	a VHFSZ; then		
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
Violate any water quality standards or waste discharg requirements?	е		\boxtimes	
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A – Bene	ficial Uses Rec	eiving Water		
Less Than Significant Impact. The project site is currently developed the exception of landscaped areas. Upon construction of the Wirele project site will decrease slightly. Due to the decrease of permeasubmitted and approved by the Public Works Department for this play the RWQCB, the project is not required to institute new water queroject. Urban runoff is currently and will continue to be conveyed to regional drainage facilities, and then ultimately to the receiving project is required to comply with applicable Federal, State, and locations are the second states and locations.	ss Telecommurable area a pre- project. Further pality BMPs, as py local drainag g waters. To a cal water qualit	nication Facility liminary WQM more, under the no new runoff ge facilities dev address potenti y regulations	y, the permeab MP was not re the NPDES permeab will be generated through all water contains	equired to be mit managed ated from the hout the City aminants, the
During the construction phase, the project will be required to management measures will be required to be implemented to e construction-related pollutants during construction. Given comp	ffectively contr	rol erosion and	d sedimentation	on and other

regulating surface water quality and the fact that the project will not result in a net increase of surface water runoff, the

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
proposed project as designed is anticipated to result in a less than sig any water quality standards or waste discharge.	gnificant imp	act directly, in	directly or cui	nulatively to
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Map of Water Plan)				
No Impact. The proposed project is located within the Arlington construction of a Wireless Telecommunication Facility with a 560 square foot lattice patio cover. The project will not require a sewer co supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such the local groundwater table level, due to the proposed usupplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	square foot le nnection and what there would	ase area, and t will not substar ld be a net def	he construction tially deplete icit in aquifer	n of a 3,150 groundwater volume or a
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			\boxtimes	
9c. Response: Less Than Significant Impact. The project will result in minimal physical alterations to the project site (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or paving) and would not significantly alter the existing drainage patterns of the site because the Project involves the construction of a wireless telecommunications facility involving improvement of small portion of the site (560 square feet) with an antenna structure, minimal erosion or siltation on- or off-site will occur. Therefore, the Project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.				
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
9d. Response:				
No Impact. The project will not directly or indirectly result in any a area, (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or addition of the site, alter the course of stream or river, or increase the rate or in flooding on- or off-site because the project consists of the construithin a 560 square foot lease area, and the construction of a 3,150 s or off-site as a result of the project will occur and there will be no substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner.	al paving) that amount of sur- ruction of a ne- square foot lat impact direct	t would alter the face runoff in the Wireless T tice patio cove ty, indirectly of	ne existing drain a manner that elecommunica r Therefore no or cumulativel	inage pattern would result ation Facility of looding on y that would
e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impact
9e. Response:				
No Impact. The project will not directly or indirectly result in any a area (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or addition which would exceed capacity of existing or planned stormwater drain of polluted runoff because the project consists of the construction of 560 square foot lease area, and the construction of a 3,150 square for create or contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing substantial additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be no	al paving) that inage systems f a new Wirel oot lattice pat or planned s	t would create or provide sub ess Telecomm io cover. The tormwater dra	or contribute ostantial additi unication Faci refore, the pro- inage systems	runoff water onal sources ility within a oject will not s or provide y.
f. Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? 9f. Response:				
No Impact. The project will not directly or indirectly result in any activity or physical alteration of the site or surrounding area, (i.e. through grading, ground disturbance, structures or additional paving) that would create or contribute runoff water which would substantially degrade water quality because the project consists of a new Wireless Telecommunication Facility within a 560, square foot lease area, and the construction of a 3,150 square foot lattice patio cover. Therefore, the project will not degrade water quality and there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
 9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps Zone X FIRM No. 06065C0715G) No Impact. A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G Effective Date August 28, 2080) and Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is, not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area and does not involve the construction of housing. There will be no impact caused by this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area. 				
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				\boxtimes
 9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps Zone X FIRM No. 06065C0715G) No Impact. The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 06065C0715G Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not place a structure within a 100-year flood hazard area that would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 				
i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Areas, and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps Zone X FIRM No. 06065C0715G)				
No Impact. The project site is not located within or near a flood he FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Effective Date August 28, 2008) or subject to dam inundation as dept 2 – Flood Hazard Areas. Therefore, the project will not place a structure of the project will not place a structure of the project will not place a structure of the project will not place as th	od Insurance I icted on Gener	Rate Map (Ma ral Plan 2025 F	ap Number 06 Program FPEII	6065C0715G R Figure 5.8-

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	Impact	
		Incorporated			
would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injur result of the failure of a levee or dam and therefore no impact direct				flooding as a	
j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				\boxtimes	
9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydrology and Water Quality) No Impact. Tsunamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, since the City is not located in a coastal area, no impacts due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Additionally, the proposed project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography and is within an urbanized area not within proximity to Lake Mathews, Lake Evans, the Santa Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area or any of the 9 arroyos which transverse the City and its sphere of influence.					
10. LAND USE AND PLANNING: Would the project:					
a. Physically divide an established community?					
Riverside GIS/CADME map layers) No Impact. The project is an infill project currently served by full does not involve the subdivision of land or the creation of street development or an established community. Further, the project is community to an established community will occur.	s that could a	alter the existi the General Pl	ng surroundir lan 2025, the Z	ng pattern of Zoning Code,	
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					
 10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines) No Impact. The project is an infill project consistent with the General Plan 2025 and Magnolia Avenue Specific Plan. It is not located within other plan areas and it is not a project of Statewide, Regional or Areawide Significance. For these reasons, this project will have no impact on an applicable land use plan, policy or regulation directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 					
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				\boxtimes	
c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan? 10c.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 – Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5 – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, enter appropriate Specific Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines No Impact. The project site is located on a previously developed/improved site within an urbanized area and will not impact an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
habitat conservation plan directly, indirectly and cumulatively. There of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conse habitat conservation plan		ect will have n			
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.					
Would the project:					
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				\boxtimes	
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – M	Iineral Resou	rces)			
No Impact. The project does not involve extraction of mineral resolution been identified on the project site and there is no historical use of the some The project site is not, nor is it adjacent to, a locally important mineration 2025, specific plan or other land use plan. Therefore, the project will be or cumulatively.	site or surroun al resource rec	ding area for movery site del	nineral extracti ineated in the	on purposes. General Plan	
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				\boxtimes	
No Impact. The GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific important mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementat preclude the ability to extract state-designated resources. The proper Therefore, there is no impact .	ion of the Ge	eneral Plan 20	25 would not	significantly	
12. NOISE.					
Would the project result in:					
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			\boxtimes		
 12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-2 - 2003 Freeway Noise, Figure N-3 - 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-6 - 2025 Freeway Noise, Figure N-7 - 2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 - Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I - Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E - Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report, and Title 7 - Noise Code) Less Than Significant Impact. The proposed project does not involve uses or activities that would increase ambient noise 					
levels as the project involves the construction and operation of a W developed with a church and school. Temporary construction noise a provisions of set forth in Title 7 of the Municipal Code Therefore, the to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards of the construction of the project in excess of established City standards.	ssociated with project will h	n the Project w ave no impact	ill need to con on the exposu	nply with the re of persons	
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					
12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Road N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway No					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially	Less Than	Less Than	No -
`	Significant	Significant With	Significant	Impact
NFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	Mitigation	Impact	
		Incorporated		
2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise	Compatibility	_	EIR Table 5.1	1-I – Existing
and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E - I				
Noise Existing Conditions Report, and Title 7 – Noise Code			2000.0000000000000000000000000000000000	pp
Less Than Significant Impact. Construction related activities althor	ugh short term	, are the most	common sour	ce of ground
borne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighboring	uses. Title 7	limits construc	tion related ac	ctivities from
7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. on weekdays, and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Sat				
or on Federal holidays. As construction activities are temporary and				_
exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibra				
generate or be exposed to long-term vibration impacts during operati-				
as no blasting or pile driving is foreseeable in conjunction with develo				
significant on the exposure of persons to or the generation of exce	ssive ground	borne vibratio	n/noise levels	in excess of
established City standards directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in				\boxtimes
the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 Road				
N-3 – 2003 Railway Noise, Figure N-5 – 2025 Roadway No				
2025 Railroad Noise, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise				
and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E - I		exterior Noise	Standards, A	ppendix G –
Noise Existing Conditions Report, and Title 7 – Noise Code)			
No Impact. The proposed project does not involve uses or activitie				
ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing w				
operation of a wireless telecommunication facility. Therefore, this producetly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ject will have	no impact on	existing noise	levers either
directly, indirectly of cumulativery.				
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient				
noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing				
without the project?				
12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J – Construction 1	Equipment No	iga I ayala Ar	mandin C N	loiga Evistina
Conditions Report)	г <i>диіртені</i> 140	nse Leveis, Ap	penaix G – Iv	oise Existing
Condutions Report)				
Less Than Significant Impact. The primary source of temporary or	· pariodia pois	a associated v	ith the proper	and project is
from construction activity and maintenance work. Construction noi				
events associated with grading, construction, large diesel engines, tru			idest common	urban noise
events associated with grading, construction, large dieser engines, tru	ick deliveries	and nadmig.		
Both the General Plan 2025 and Municipal Code Title 7 (Noise Code) limit constru	ction activities	s to specific tir	mes and days
of the week and during those specified times, construction activity is				
Considering the short-term nature of construction and the provisions				
in noise levels due to the construction which may result from the				
indirectly and cumulatively.	rJ			,
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or,			\square	
where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of			\boxtimes	
a public airport or public use airport, would the project				
expose people residing or working in the project area to				
excessive noise levels?				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Rive	rside and Ela	hah Airnart N	laise Cantaur	Figure N O
- March ARB Noise Contour, and Figure N-10 - Noise/Lar				
munitaria mana mana mana mana mana mana mana ma	in Osc Hoise	companouny .	Ciuciu, RCA	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Impuet	
Less Than Significant Impact. Although the project site is located within two (2) miles of Riverside Municipal Airport the project is not located within an airport land use plan. The proposed project is not located within any of the airport noise contour areas as depicted on Figures N-8 and N-9 of the Noise Element of the General Plan 2025. Furthermore, the wireless telecommunication facility is an unmanned facility, or this reason, the project would not expose people working in the project area to excessive noise levels related to airport noise. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively on people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels. f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would					
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?					
 12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)) No Impact. Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within the City that would expose people working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project consists of development anticipated under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 					
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?					
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 – Le Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B – Gen 2025, Table 5.12-C – 2025 General Plan and SCAG C Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG	neral Plan Po omparisons,	opulation and Table 5.12-D	Employment	Projections-	
No Impact. The project is in an urbanized area and does not proposubstantial population growth, and does not involve the addition of numbers substantial population growth because the project consists of the continuous Therefore, this project will have no impact on population growth either the project consists of the continuous project will have no impact on population growth either the project consists of the continuous project will have no impact on population growth either the project consists of the continuous project continuous	ew roads or in struction of a	nfrastructure th new wireless t	nat would indi	rectly induce	
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer) No Impact. The project will not displace existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because the project site is proposed on a previously improved site that has no existing housing that will be removed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, there will be no impact on existing housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
construction of replacement housing elsewhere? 13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2003 Layer)					
* /					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	F	
No Impact. The project will not displace any people, necessitatin because the project site is proposed on a previously improved site removed or affected by the proposed project. Therefore, this project for replacement housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	that has no e	existing housin	g or residents	that will be	
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.					
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:					
a. Fire protection?				\boxtimes	
No Impact. The project consists of the construction of a new wireless developed with a church and associated school. Adequate fire facilities Andrew St. to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	s and services General Plar	s are provided by 2025 policies	by Station 2 loos, compliance	cated at 9449 with existing	
b. Police protection?				\boxtimes	
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Nei	ghborhood P	olicing Center	<u> </u>		
No Impact. The project consists of the construction of a new wireless telecommunication facility located on a site currently developed with a church and associated school. Adequate police facilities and services are provided by Neighborhood Policing Center Central located 8181 Lincoln Ave to serve this project. Therefore, this project will not result in the intensification of land use and there will be no impact on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. Schools?				\boxtimes	
 14c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.13-2 – RUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-D – RUSD, and Table 5.13-G – Student Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level) No Impact. The project is non-residential use that will not involve the addition of any housing units that would increase numbers of school age children. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional school facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively 					
d. Parks?				\boxtimes	
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Pa Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities I	FPEIR Table	2 5.14-A – Par	rk and Recrea	4 – Park and ation Facility	
No Impact. The project is a non-residential use that will not involve the population. Therefore, there will be no impact on the demand f indirectly or cumulatively.					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	Ппрасс
		Mitigation Incorporated		
e. Other public facilities?				\boxtimes
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 – Community Facilities, FPEIR Figure 5.13-5 - Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F – Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H – Riverside Public Library Service Standards)				
No Impact. The project consists of the construction of a new wireless telecommunication facility located on a site currently developed with a church and associated school. Adequate public facilities and service such as libraries and communities centers and are provided in the Arlington Neighborhood to serve this project. Therefore, this project will not result in the intensification of land use and there will be no impact on the demand for additional public facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
15. RECREATION.				
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
 15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 – Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 – Master plan of Trails and Bikeways, Parks Master Plan 2003, FPEIR Table 5.14-A – Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D – Inventory of Existing Community Centers, Riverside Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development Fees, Bicycle Master Plan May 2007) No Impact. The project will not result in an intensification of land use and therefore, there will be no impact on the demand for additional recreational facilities either directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 				
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
15b. Response:				
No Impact. The project will not include new recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities; therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:				
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 – Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future				
Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service,				
Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	impact	
Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, and Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix)					
No Impact. The project site is located on a previously developed/imp in any measurable increase in traffic would occur and therefore no im of the existing circulation system will occur.					
b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LO Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and T Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Im Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic	S) (Typical 20 Typical Densit provement Re in 2025, Tab Study and Tr	025), Table 5.1 y Scenario Int ecommendatio ble 5.15K – F raffic Study Ap	25-D – Existin Tersection Leve Ins, Table 5.15 Treeway Analy Opendix, and S	g and Future els of Service, 5-J – Current vsis Proposed SCAG's RTP)	
No Impact. The project site does not include a state highway or principal arterial within Riverside County's Congestion Management Program (CMP) and the project is consistent with the Transportation Demand Management/Air Quality components of the Program; therefore, there is no impact either directly, indirectly or cumulatively to the CMP.					
c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 – Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, and RCALUCP) No Impact. The project will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic levels or change the location of air traffic patterns. It is not located within an airport influence area. As such, this project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns.					
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping and Signing Plans)					
No Impact. The project is located on a site that is currently developed, with all site improvements in place, and where no site modifications will occur that would result in hazards due to design features such as driveways, intersection improvements, etc. In addition, the proposed use is compatible with other uses on the site. As such, the project will have no impact on increasing hazards through design or incompatible uses either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?				\boxtimes	
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transport Fire Code)	ation Highwa	y Design Mar	ıual, Municip	al Code, and	
No Impact. The project is located on a site that is currently develop site modifications are proposed that would affect emergency access; cumulatively to emergency access.					

SSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	impuet
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?				\boxtimes
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, Sci				
No Impact. The project is located on a site that is currently develops ite modifications will occur that would result in conflicts with adoptransportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). As such, the project on adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transport.	pted policies, will have no i n	plans or progr	ams supportin	g alternative
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				\boxtimes
No Impact. The project will not exceed wastewater treatment required (RWQCB). The project is located on a site that is currently develops ite modifications are proposed that would affect wastewater treatment or cumulatively to wastewater treatment.	ed, with all s	ite improveme	nts in place, a	and where no
• •				
facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RP Table PF-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU, FPEIR for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, and Figure Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and No Impact. The project will not result in the construction of new or project is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the Generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16 General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, the project will have not wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities	Table 5.16-G 5.16-4 – Wa Certified EII expanded war eneral Plan 26 6-F, 5.16-G, 3 o impact resu	- General Planter Facilities of R.) ter or wasteward 025 where fut 5.16-H, 5.16-I, lting in the control of the	ter treatment fure water and 5.16-J and 5 nstruction of 1	Tater Demand 16-6 – Sewer acilities. The wastewater .16-K of the
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Fac	ilities)	l		
No Impact. The project is located on a previously developed/impro imperious surfaces will occur that would require or result in the compact.				

expansion of existing facilities. Therefore, the project will have **no impact** resulting in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 – Water Service – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR, Tab General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU including	ole 5.16-F – F	Projected Wate	r Demand, To	able 5.16 - G –
No Impact. The project will not exceed expected water supplies. Typical Growth Scenario where future water supplies were determi 5.16-H, 5.16-I and 5.16-J of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The insufficient water supplies either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	ned to be ade erefore, the pr	quate (see Tab	oles t.16-E, 5.1	16-F, 5.16-G,
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
 17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the Content of Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR) No Impact. The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requared to be adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan Treatment Master Plan anticipates and provides for this type of p directly, indirectly or cumulatively will occur. 	uirements of (wth Scenario 2025 Final 1	(Regional Wat where future v PEIR). Further	er Quality Conwastewater geer, the current	d Wastewater ntrol Board). neration was Wastewater
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				STIW
 17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A – Existing Landfills and Table 5.16-M – Estimated Future Solid Waste Generation from the Planning Area) No Impact. The project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Build-out Project level where future landfill capacity was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-A and 5.16-M of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). Therefore, no impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively. 				
 g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste? 17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Manager) 		002 Landfill F	Gacility Compl	iance Study)
No Impact. The California Integrated Waste Management Act jurisdictions divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by Jar diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addition, the California Great all non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The disposal requirements as well as the California Green Building Code or local regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impacts related to cumulatively.	under the Punuary 1, 2000 ornia Green Bull projects and the proposed pand as such v	ublic Resource The City is uilding Code ro 1100% of exca roject must co vould not confl	e Code require currently achie equires all devivated soil and mply with the lict with any F	es that local eving a 60% elopments to land clearing City's waste ederal, State,

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.					
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 – Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 – MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 – MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area, MSHCP Section 6.1.2 - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools, FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity, Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D, and Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code) Less Than Significant Impact. Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were discussed in the					
Biological Resources Section of this Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant . Additionally, potential impacts to cultural, archaeological and paleontological resources related to major periods of California and the City of Riverside's history or prehistory were discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study, and were found to be less than significant .					
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?					
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 – Long-Term Effects/ Cumulative Impacts for the General Plan 2025 Program) Less Than Significant Impact. Because the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025, no new cumulative impacts are anticipated and therefore cumulative impacts of the proposed project beyond those previously considered in the GP 2025 FPEIR are less than significant.					
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?					
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Impact Analysis for the General Plan 2025 Program)					
Less Than Significant Impact. Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air quality, hydrology & water quality, noise, population and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of this initial study and found to be less than significant for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusions in this initial study, the project will not cause substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings. Therefore, potential direct and indirect impacts on human beings that result from the proposed project are less than significant .					

Note: Authority cited: Sections 21083 and 21087, Public Resources Code. Reference: Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21151, Public Resources Code; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino, 202 Cal.App.3d 296 (1988); Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors, 222 Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).